Envelope Words and Return Words Sequences in the Period-doubling Sequence

Huang Yuke[1] Wen Zhiying[2]

arXiv:1606.05150v1 [math.DS] 16 Jun 2016

Abstract We consider the infinite one-sided sequence generated by the period-doubling substitution $\sigma(a,b)=(ab,aa)$, denoted by $\mathbb{D}$. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is uniformly recurrent, each factor ω appears infinite many times in the sequence, which is arranged as ω_p $(p\geq 1)$. Let $r_p(\omega)$ be the p-th return word over ω. The main result is: for each factor ω, the sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 or Θ_2, which are substitutive sequences and determined completely in this paper.

Key words the period-doubling sequence; envelope word; return word; combinatorics on words.

2010 MR Subject Classification 11B85; 68Q45

1 Introduction

The period-doubling sequence $\mathbb{D}$ has been heavily studied within mathematics and computer science, etc. D.Damanik[3] determined the number of palindromes (resp. k-th powers of words) of length n occurring in $\mathbb{D}$ explicitly. Sometimes, the period-doubling sequence is called the first difference of the Thue-Morse sequence. Here we use an equivalent substitution $\hat{\sigma}(1,0)=(10,11)$, and the definition of the difference of an integer sequence is natural. In 1998, Allouche-Peyrière-Wen-Wen[1] proved that all the Hankel determinants of the period-doubling sequence are odd integers. In 2014, Guo-Wen[5] determined the automaticity of the Hankel determinants of difference sequences of the Thue-Morse sequence, including $\mathbb{D}$. In 2015, Parreau-Rigo-Rowland-Vandomme[11] proved that $\mathbb{D}$ have 2-abelian complexity sequences that are 2-regular. Fu-Han[4] considered t-extensions of the Hankel determinants of some certain automatic sequences, such as $\mathbb{D}$.

F.Durand[2] introduced the return words and proved that a sequence is primitive substitutive if and only if the set of its return words is finite. L.Vuillon[12] proved that an infinite word τ is a Sturmian sequence if and only if each nonempty factor $\omega \prec \tau$ has exactly two distinct return words. But they have not studied the expressions of the return words and the properties of the sequence composed by the return words. Let ω be a factor of $\mathbb{D}$. For $p\geq 1$ and let $\omega_p = x_{i+1}\cdots x_{i+n}$ and $\omega_{p+1}=x_{j+1}\cdots x_{j+n}$. The factor $x_{i+1}\cdots x_j$ is called the p-th return word of ω and denoted by $r_p(\omega)$. If no confusion happens, we denote by r_p for short. The sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is called the return word sequence of factor ω. Denote $r_0(\omega)$ the prefix of $\mathbb{D}$ before ω_1. It is not a return word.

Huang-Wen [6, 7] determine the structure of the return word sequences of the Fibonacci sequence $\mathbb{F}$ and the Tribonacci sequence $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. More precisely, for any factor ω of $\mathbb{F}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$), the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is still $\mathbb{F}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$). Using these properties, we determined the number of palindromes (resp. k-th powers of words) occurring in $\mathbb{F}[1,n]$ (the prefix of $\mathbb{F}$ of length n) and $\mathbb{T}[1,n]$ for all $n\geq 1$, see [8, 9, 10]. These topics are of great importance in computer science.

The main tool of the two papers is "kernel word". However, in the studies of the period-doubling sequence $\mathbb{D}$, the techniques of kernel words fail. In fact, there is no kernel set in $\mathbb{D}$, which satisfies the "uniqueness of kernel decomposition", see [6, 7]. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a new notion called "envelope word". **The main result of this paper is: for any factor ω, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is $\Theta_1=\tau_1(\mathbb{D})$ or $\Theta_2=\tau_2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\tau_1(a,b)=(a,bb)$, $\tau_2(a,b)=(ab,acac)$.** In order to prove this property, we first determine the return word sequences of envelope words in Section 2; then we give two types of "uniqueness of envelope extension" in Section 3; using them, we determine the return word sequences of general factors in Section 4.

[1]School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Beihang University (BUAA), Beijing, 100191, P. R. China. E-mail address: huangyuke@buaa.edu.cn, hyg03ster@163.com(Corresponding author).

[2]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China. E-mail address: wenzy@tsinghua.edu.cn.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\}$ be a binary alphabet. We denote the concatenation of ν and ω by $\nu\omega$ or $\nu \cdot \omega$. ω^k means the concatenation of k factors ω, called the k-th power factor of ω. The mirror word of ω is defined to be $\overleftarrow{\omega} = x_n \cdots x_2 x_1$. A word ω is called a palindrome if $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega}$. We define $\overline{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\overline{a} = b$ and $\overline{b} = a$. Let $\rho = x_1 \cdots x_n$ be a finite word. For any $i \le j \le n$, we define $\rho[i, j] = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j-1} x_j$. For convention, we denote $\rho[i] = \rho[i, i] = x_i$, $\rho[i, i-1] = \varepsilon$ (empty word). We denote by $L(\omega, p)$ the position of the first letter of ω_p. We say that ν is a prefix (resp. suffix) of a word ω if there exists word u such that $\omega = \nu u$ (resp. $\omega = u\nu$), $|u| \ge 0$, which denoted by $\nu \lhd \omega$ (resp. $\nu \rhd \omega$). In this case, we write $\nu^{-1}\omega = u$ (resp. $\omega\nu^{-1} = u$), where ν^{-1} is the inverse word of ν such that $\nu\nu^{-1} = \nu^{-1}\nu = \varepsilon$.

The period-doubling sequence $\mathbb{D}$ is the fixed point beginning with a of substitution $\sigma(a, b) = (ab, aa)$. We denote $A_m = \sigma^m(a)$ and $B_m = \sigma^m(b)$ for $m \ge 0$. Then $|A_m| = |B_m| = 2^m$, where $|\omega|$ is the length of ω. Let $\delta_m \in \{a, b\}$ be the last letter of A_m. Obviously, $\delta_m = a$ if and only if m even; δ_{m+1} is the last letter of B_m.

For later use, we list some elementary properties of $\mathbb{D}$, which can be proved easily by induction: $A_m\delta_m^{-1} = B_m\delta_{m+1}^{-1}$ and $A_m = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ for $m \ge 0$. Moreover $\mathbb{D} = a\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j$.

2 The return word sequences of envelope words in $\mathbb{D}$

As we said in Section 1, there is no "kernel set" in the period-doubling sequence, which satisfies the "uniqueness of kernel decomposition". To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a new notion called "envelope word".

Definition 2.1 (Envelope words)**.** *Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_{i,m} : i = 1, 2,\ m \ge 1\}$ be a set of factors with*

$$E_{1,m} = A_m\delta_m^{-1} \text{ and } E_{2,m} = B_m B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}.$$

We call $E_{i,m}$ the m-th envelope word of type i.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\Theta_1 = \tau_1(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Theta_2 = \tau_2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\tau_1(a, b) = (a, bb)$ and $\tau_2(a, b) = (ab, acac)$. Obviously, Θ_1 and Θ_2 are $\mathbb{D}$ over the alphabets $\{a, bb\}$ and $\{ab, acac\}$, respectively.*

In this section, we are going to prove the two theorems below:

Theorem 2.3. *The return word sequence $\{r_p(E_{1,m})\}_{p\ge1}$ is Θ_1 over the alphabet*

$$\{r_1(E_{1,m}), r_2(E_{1,m})\} = \{A_m, A_{m-1}\}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *The return word sequence $\{r_p(E_{2,m})\}_{p\ge1}$ is Θ_2 over the alphabet*

$$\{r_1(E_{2,m}), r_2(E_{2,m}), r_4(E_{2,m})\} = \{A_{m-1}, A_{m-1}A_mB_{m+1}, B_mB_{m-1}\}.$$

2.1 Prove of Theorem 2.3

We first give a *criterion* to determine all occurrences of a factor, which is useful in our proofs. Let $\omega = u\nu$ where $|u|, |\nu| > 0$. Obviously, if $\mathbb{D}[L, L+|\omega|-1] = \omega$, then $\mathbb{D}[L, L+|u|-1] = u$. Thus in order to determine all occurrences of ω, we first find out all occurrences of u, then check whether these u's can extend to ω (i.e. be followed by ν) or not.

Lemma 2.5. *For $m \ge 0$, A_m occurs exactly twice in A_mA_m (resp. $A_mB_mA_m$). More precisely, A_m occurs as prefix and suffix.*

Proof. For $m = 0$, $A_m = a$ occurs exactly twice in $A_mA_m = aa$ (resp. $A_mB_mA_m = aba$) as prefix and suffix. Assume the conclusions hold for m, consider $A_{m+1} = A_mB_m$ occurs in

$$A_{m+1}A_{m+1} = \underbrace{A_m}_{[1]} B_m \underbrace{A_m}_{[2]} B_m,\ A_{m+1}B_{m+1}A_{m+1} = \underbrace{A_m}_{[3]} B_m \underbrace{A_m}_{[4]} \underbrace{A_m}_{[5]} \underbrace{A_m}_{[6]} B_m.$$

Since A_m occurs exactly twice in A_mA_m and $A_mB_mA_m$, all possible positions of the prefix A_m of A_{m+1} are shown with "underbrace" above.

(1) The A_m locates at [1], [2], [3], [6] followed by B_m, so they can extend to a $A_{m+1} = A_mB_m$.

(2) The A_m locates at [4], [5] followed by $A_m \neq B_m$, so they can't extend to a $A_{m+1} = A_mB_m$.

This means, A_{m+1} occurs exactly twice in $A_{m+1}A_{m+1}$ (resp. $A_{m+1}B_{m+1}A_{m+1}$) as prefix and suffix. By induction, the conclusions hold for $m \geq 0$. □

Property 2.6. *$r_0(E_{1,m}) = \varepsilon$, $r_1(E_{1,m}) = A_m$ and $r_2(E_{1,m}) = A_{m-1}$ for $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. We only need to determine the first three positions of $E_{1,m}$, denoted by $L(E_{1,m}, p)$, $p = 1, 2, 3$. By the criterion above, we determine $L(A_{m-1}, p)$ first, $p = 1, 2, 3$. Since

$$A_{m+2} = A_{m-1}B_{m-1}A_{m-1}A_{m-1}A_{m-1}B_{m-1}A_{m-1}B_{m-1} \triangleleft \mathbb{D},$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $L(A_{m-1}, 1) = 1$, $L(A_{m-1}, 2) = 2^m + 1$, $L(A_{m-1}, 3) = 3 \times 2^{m-1} + 1$. Since $A_m\delta_m^{-1} = B_m\delta_{m+1}^{-1}$, all of them are followed by $B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$, i.e., can extend to $E_{1,m}$.

This means, $L(E_{1,m}, 1) = 1$, $L(E_{1,m}, 2) = 2^m + 1$, $L(E_{1,m}, 3) = 3 \times 2^{m-1} + 1$.

By the definition of return words $r_p(E_{1,m})$, we have

$r_0(E_{1,m}) = \mathbb{D}[1, L(E_{1,m}, 1) - 1] = \mathbb{D}[1, 0] = \varepsilon$;

$r_1(E_{1,m}) = \mathbb{D}[L(E_{1,m}, 1), L(E_{1,m}, 2) - 1] = \mathbb{D}[1, 2^m] = A_m$;

$r_2(E_{1,m}) = \mathbb{D}[L(E_{1,m}, 2), L(E_{1,m}, 3) - 1] = \mathbb{D}[2^m + 1, 3 \times 2^{m-1}] = A_{m-1}$. □

Corollary 2.7. *$|r_0(E_{1,m})| = 0$, $|r_1(E_{1,m})| = 2^m$ and $|r_2(E_{1,m})| = 2^{m-1}$ for $m \geq 1$.*

Let $m \geq 1$ be fixed. Define an alphabet

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,m} = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} = \{r_1(E_{1,m}), r_2(E_{1,m})r_2(E_{1,m})\} = \{A_m, B_m\}.$$

We denote $\mathbf{A_n}(\mathcal{A}_{1,m})$ and $\mathbf{B_n}(\mathcal{A}_{1,m})$ the A_n and B_n over alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{1,m}$ for each fixed $m \geq 1$. If no confusion happens, we simply write $\mathbf{A_n}$, $\mathbf{B_n}$, r_1 and r_2 for short.

Lemma 2.8. *Over $\mathcal{A}_{1,m} = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$, $\mathbf{A_n} = A_{m+n}$ and $\mathbf{B_n} = B_{m+n}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A_0} = \mathbf{a} = A_m$, $\mathbf{B_0} = \mathbf{b} = B_m$, the conclusions hold for $n = 0$. Assume they hold for n, then $\mathbf{A_{n+1}} = \mathbf{A_nB_n} = A_{m+n}B_{m+n} = A_{m+n+1}$, $\mathbf{B_{n+1}} = \mathbf{A_nA_n} = A_{m+n}A_{m+n} = B_{m+n+1}$. By induction, the conclusions hold for $n \geq 0$. □

By the definition of alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{1,m}$ and Definition 2.2, Theorem 2.3 is equivalent to

Theorem 2.3'. *The return word sequence $\{r_p(E_{1,m})\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{D}$ over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{1,m}$.*

Proof. By $A_m = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\mathbb{D} = a\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j \prod_{j=m}^{\infty} B_j = \mathbf{a}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{m+j} = \mathbf{a}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{B_j} = \mathbf{D}.$$

Here $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are the period-doubling sequence over alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1,m}$, respectively.

Thus the conclusion holds. □

2.2 Prove of Theorem 2.4

Lemma 2.9. *(1) B_m occurs exactly once in A_mB_m, at position $2^m + 1$ for $m \geq 0$;*

(2) B_m occurs three times in $B_mA_mB_m$, at positions 1, $2^{m-1} + 1$ and $2^{m+1} + 1$ for $m \geq 1$;

(3) B_m occurs three times in $B_mA_mA_mA_mB_m$, at positions 1, $2^{m-1} + 1$ and $2^{m+2} + 1$ for $m \geq 1$.

Similarly as Property 2.6 and by Lemma 2.9, the first five positions of $E_{2,m}$ are: $2^m + 1$, $3 \times 2^{m-1} + 1$, $5 \times 2^m + 1$, $11 \times 2^{m-1} + 1$, $7 \times 2^m + 1$. The expressions of $r_p(E_{2,m})$, $1 \leq p \leq 4$ are

Property 2.10. $r_0(E_{2,m}) = A_m$, $r_1(E_{2,m}) = r_3(E_{2,m}) = A_{m-1}$, $r_2(E_{2,m}) = A_{m-1}A_mB_{m+1}$ *and* $r_4(E_{2,m}) = B_mB_{m-1}$ *for* $m \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.11. $|r_0(E_{2,m})| = 2^m$, $|r_1(E_{2,m})| = 2^{m-1}$, $|r_2(E_{2,m})| = 7 * 2^{m-1}$, $|r_4(E_{2,m})| = 3 * 2^{m-1}$.

Let $m \geq 1$ be fixed. We simply write $r_p(E_{2,m})$ as r_p for short, $p = 1, 2, 4$. Define an alphabet

$$\mathcal{A}_{2,m} = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} = \{r_1r_2, r_1r_4r_1r_4\} = \{A_m^{-1}A_{m+2}A_m, A_m^{-1}B_{m+2}A_m\}.$$

We denote $\mathbf{A_n}(\mathcal{A}_{2,m})$ and $\mathbf{B_n}(\mathcal{A}_{2,m})$ the A_n and B_n over alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{2,m}$ for each fixed $m \geq 1$. If no confusion happens, we simply write $\mathbf{A_n}$ and $\mathbf{B_n}$ for short.

By induction as Lemma 2.8, we have

Lemma 2.12. *Over* $\mathcal{A}_{2,m} = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$, $\mathbf{A_n} = A_m^{-1}A_{m+n+2}A_m$ *and* $\mathbf{B_n} = A_m^{-1}B_{m+n+2}A_m$ *for* $n \geq 0$.

By the definition of alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{2,m}$ and Definition 2.2, Theorem 2.4 is equivalent to

Theorem 2.4'. *The return word sequence* $\{r_p(E_{2,m})\}_{p\geq 1}$ *in* $\mathbb{D}$ *is* $\mathbb{D}$ *over the alphabet* $\mathcal{A}_{2,m}$.

Proof. By $A_m = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ and Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D} =& a\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j = a\prod_{j=0}^{m+1} B_j \prod_{j=m+2}^{\infty} B_j = A_{m+2}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{m+j+2}\\ =& A_mA_m^{-1}A_{m+2}A_m\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(A_m^{-1}B_{m+j+2}A_m) = r_0(E_{2,m})\mathbf{a}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{B_j} = r_0(E_{2,m})\mathbf{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are the period-doubling sequence over alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,m}$, respectively. Notice that, by the definition of return word sequence, we omit $r_0(E_{2,m})$. So the conclusion holds. □

3 Uniqueness of envelope extension

In this section, we give the two types of *uniqueness of envelope extension*, which play an important role in our studies. Using them, we can extend Theorem 2.3, 2.4 and other related properties from envelope words to general factors.

Definition 3.1 (The order of envelope words)**.** $E_{1,m} \sqsubset E_{2,m}$, $E_{i,m} \sqsubset E_{j,m+1}$ *for* $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $m \geq 1$.

Definition 3.2 (Envelope of factor ω, $Env(\omega)$)**.** *For any factor* ω, *let*

$$Env(\omega) = \min_{\sqsubset}\{E_{i,m} : \omega \prec E_{i,m},\ i = 1, 2,\ m \geq 1\},$$

which is called the envelope of factor ω.

For any ω, denote $Env(\omega)$ by $E_{i,m}$. By Definition 3.2, we know the envelope of factor ω is unique. But we don't know: (1) whether ω occurs in $Env(\omega)$ only once or not; (2) the relation between $\{\omega_p\}_{p\geq 1}$ and $\{E_{i,m,p}\}_{p\geq 1}$, i.e., the relation between positions $L(\omega, p)$ and $L(E_{i,m}, p)$ for all $p \geq 1$.

The two types of uniqueness of envelope extension will answer the two questions:

• The weak type (see Definition 3.14 below) shows the relation between ω and $Env(\omega)$;

• The strong type (see Definition 3.23 below) shows the relation between ω_p and $Env(\omega)_p$ for each $p \geq 1$, i.e. $Env(\omega_p) = Env(\omega)_p$.

3.1 Basic properties of envelope words

For later use, we give some basic properties of envelope words first. By the definition of envelope words, $E_{1,m} = A_m\delta_m^{-1}$ and $E_{2,m} = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$. Thus

Property 3.3. $E_{1,m+1} = E_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,m}$ *and* $E_{2,m+1} = E_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,m}$ *for* $m \geq 1$.

Example. When $m = 2$, $E_{1,m} = aba$, $\delta_m = a$. We have $E_{1,m+1} = aba\underline{a}aba$, $E_{2,m+1} = aba\underline{a}aba\underline{a}aba$. Here we give all $\delta_m = a$ with underline.

Corollary 3.4. *Both $E_{1,m}$ and $E_{2,m}$ are palindromes.*

By induction, we can give a more general form of Property 3.3, as Property 3.5 and 3.6.

Property 3.5 (Relation between $E_{1,m}$ and $E_{1,n}$)**.** *For $m > n$, $E_{1,m} = E_{1,n}x_1E_{1,n}\cdots E_{1,n}x_hE_{1,n}$, where $x_1\cdots x_h = \overline{E_{1,m-n}}$ for n odd, and $x_1\cdots x_h = E_{1,m-n}$ for n even.*

Example. When $n = 1$ (odd) and $m = 3$, we give all $E_{1,1} = a$ with underline: $E_{1,3} = \underline{a}b\underline{a}a\underline{a}b\underline{a}$. In this case, $x_1\cdots x_h = bab = \overline{aba} = \overline{E_{1,2}} = \overline{E_{1,m-n}}$.

Property 3.6 (Relation between $E_{2,m}$ and $E_{1,n}$)**.** *For $m > n$, $E_{2,m} = E_{1,n}x_1E_{1,n}\cdots E_{1,n}x_hE_{1,n}$, where $x_1\cdots x_h = \overline{E_{2,m-n}}$ for n odd, and $x_1\cdots x_h = E_{2,m-n}$ for n even.*

Example. When $n = 1$ (odd) and $m = 3$, we give all $E_{1,1} = a$ with underline: $E_{2,3} = \underline{a}b\underline{a}a\underline{a}b\underline{a}a\underline{a}b\underline{a}$. In this case, $x_1\cdots x_h = babab = \overline{ababa} = \overline{E_{2,2}} = \overline{E_{2,m-n}}$.

In Corollary 3.4, we have all envelope words are palindromes. Property 3.10 and 3.11 show stronger relations between envelope words and palindromes. We first give some lemmas.

Since $E_{1,m} = A_m\delta_m^{-1}$, it is easy to proof that

Lemma 3.7. *For $m \geq 2$, we denote $E_{1,m} = x_1x_2\cdots x_h$, then $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$, $x_h = a$.*

Lemma 3.8. *The factor $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ be a palindrome has only two cases: (a) $n = k$.*
(b) $|n-k| = 1$; m and $\min\{n,k\}$ have the same parity.

Proof. Obviously, when $n = k$, $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ is a palindrome. When $n \neq k$, since both $E_{1,n}$ and $E_{1,k}$ are palindrome, we assume $n > k$ without loss of generality. (1) When $n = k+1$, by Property 3.5, $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k} = E_{1,k}\underline{\delta_k}E_{1,k}\underline{\delta_m}E_{1,k}$. Comparing the two letters with underlines, $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ is a palindrome if and only if $\delta_k = \delta_m$, i.e., m and $k = \min\{n,k\}$ have the same parity.

(2) When $n \geq k+2$, by Property 3.5,

$$E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k} = E_{1,k}x_1E_{1,k}\underline{x_2}E_{1,k}\cdots E_{1,k}\underline{x_h}E_{1,k}\delta_mE_{1,k},$$

where $x_1x_2\cdots x_h = E_{1,n-k}$ for k odd, and $x_1x_2\cdots x_h = \overline{E_{1,n-k}}$ for k even. No matter k is odd or even, $x_2 \neq x_h$ by Lemma 3.7. Thus $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ can not be a palindrome in this case.

In summary, the conclusion holds. □

Lemma 3.9. *For $n, h < m$, the factor $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ be a palindrome if and only if $n = k$.*

Proof. Obviously, when $n = k$, $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ is palindrome. When $n \neq k$, since both $E_{1,n}$ and $E_{1,k}$ are palindrome, we assume $n > k$ without loss of generality. (1) When $n = k+1$, by Property 3.5, $E_{1,n} = E_{1,k}\delta_kE_{1,k}$ and $E_{1,m} = E_{1,k}x_1E_{1,k}x_2E_{1,k}\ldots E_{1,k}x_hE_{1,k}$ for $h \geq 3$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}&E_{1,n}\cdot\delta_m\cdot E_{1,m}\cdot\delta_m\cdot E_{1,k}\\ =&E_{1,k}\underbrace{\delta_k}_{[1]}E_{1,k}\cdot\underbrace{\delta_m}_{[3]}\cdot E_{1,k}x_1E_{1,k}x_2E_{1,k}\ldots E_{1,k}x_{h-1}E_{1,k}x_hE_{1,k}\cdot\underbrace{\delta_m}_{[2]}\cdot E_{1,k}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ is palindrome, then δ_k locates at [1] is equal to δ_m locates at [2], i.e. $\delta_k = \delta_m$. Moreover δ_m locates at [3] is equal to x_h, i.e. $\delta_m = x_h$. Since $E_{1,m}$ is palindrome, $x_1 = x_h$. Since $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ is palindrome, $x_1 = x_{h-1}$. (1) If $m = k+2$, i.e., $h = 3$, we find a 6-th power factor of $\alpha \in \{a,b\}$ in Θ_1 that $\delta_k\delta_mx_1x_2x_h\delta_m$. (2) If $m \geq k+3$, $h \geq 7$. Since $E_{1,m}$ is palindrome, $x_2 = x_{h-1}$. We find a 4-th power factor of $\alpha \in \{a,b\}$ in Θ_1 that $\delta_k\delta_mx_1x_2$.

Both of the two cases above contradict that there is no k-th power factor in Θ_1, $k \geq 4$. Thus $E_{1,n}\delta_mE_{1,m}\delta_mE_{1,k}$ can not be a palindrome for $n \neq k$. So the conclusion holds. □

Property 3.10. *Let palindrome ω be a prefix (resp. suffix) of $E_{1,m}$, then there exists n ($\leq m$) such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$.*

Proof. For $m = 1$, $E_{1,m} = a$, the palindromical prefix is a. For $m = 2$, $E_{1,m} = aba$, the palindromical prefixes are a and aba. The conclusion holds. By induction, we assume the conclusions hold for m. If $\omega = E_{1,m+1}$, the conclusion holds obviously. If ω is a proper prefix of $E_{1,m+1} = E_{1,m}\delta_m E_{1,m}$, then

Case 1: ω is a prefix of $E_{1,m}$, then there exists $n \leq m$ such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$.

Case 2: ω isn't a prefix of $E_{1,m}$. Since $E_{1,m+1} = E_{1,m}\delta_m E_{1,m}$, $\omega = E_{1,m}\delta_m\nu$, where ν is a prefix of $E_{1,m}$. Since ω is a palindrome, $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega} = \overleftarrow{\nu}\delta_m E_{1,m}$. This means $\overleftarrow{\nu}$ is also the prefix of $E_{1,m}$. So $\nu = \overleftarrow{\nu}$ is a palindrome. By assumption and $\omega \neq E_{1,m+1}$, there exists $n < m$ s.t. $\nu = E_{1,n}$. This means $\omega = E_{1,m}\delta_m E_{1,n}$, $m \neq n$. By Lemma 3.8, ω can't be a palindrome, contradicting $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega}$.

By the two cases above and by induction, if palindrome ω is a prefix of $E_{1,m}$, the conclusions hold for all m . Similarly, if palindrome ω is a suffix of $E_{1,m}$, there exists n ($\leq m$) such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$. □

Property 3.11. *Let palindrome ω be a proper prefix (resp. suffix) of $E_{2,m}$, then there exists n ($\leq m$) such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$.*

Proof. For $m = 1$, $E_{2,m} = aa$, the palindromical proper prefix is a. For $m = 2$, $E_{2,m} = ababa$, the palindromical prefixes are a and aba. The conclusion holds. Assume the conclusions hold for m. If palindrome ω is a proper prefix of $E_{2,m+1} = E_{1,m+1}\delta_m E_{1,m}$, then

Case 1: ω is a prefix of $E_{1,m+1}$, then there exists $n \leq m+1$ such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$.

Case 2: ω isn't a prefix of $E_{1,m+1}$, then $\omega = E_{1,m+1}\delta_m\nu$, where ν is a proper prefix of $E_{1,m}$. Since ω is a palindrome, $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega} = \overleftarrow{\nu}\delta_m E_{1,m+1}$. So $\overleftarrow{\nu}$ is the prefix of $E_{1,m+2}$. Moreover $|\nu| < |E_{1,m}|$, so $\overleftarrow{\nu}$ is the prefix of $E_{1,m}$. This means $\nu = \overleftarrow{\nu}$ is a palindrome. By Property 3.10, there exists $n < m$ s.t. $\nu = E_{1,n}$. This means $\omega = E_{1,m+1}\delta_m E_{1,n}$, $n \neq m+1$. By Lemma 3.8, ω can't be a palindrome, contradicting $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega}$.

By the two cases above and by induction, if palindrome ω is a prefix of $E_{2,m}$, the conclusions hold for all m . Similarly, if palindrome ω is a suffix of $E_{2,m}$, there exists n ($\leq m$) such that $\omega = E_{1,n}$. □

3.2 The simplification by palindromic property

The "weak type of envelope extension" means "each ω occurs in $Env(\omega)$ only once". Though the analysis in this subsection, we only need to prove the last property for ω is a palindrome. Moreover, if ω occurs in $Env(\omega)$ at least twice, we can pick two of them. So we only need to negate the proposition that "there exist a palindrome ω occurs in $Env(\omega)$ twice".

Lemma 3.12. *For palindrome Λ, $|\Lambda|$ is odd, if there exist factor ω satisfies:*

(1) ω occurs in Λ twice;

(2) Both of the two ω's contain the middle letter of Λ.

Then there exist a palindrome ϖ occurs in Λ twice, at symmetric positions.

Proof. Denote the two ω in Λ by ω_1 and ω_2, and $\Lambda = \alpha\omega_1\beta = \gamma\omega_2\eta$, where $|\alpha| < |\gamma|$. Denote $|\alpha| := d_1$ and $|\eta| := d_2$. Since both of ω_1 and ω_2 contain the middle letter of Λ, they are overlapped.



(1) $d_1 = d_2$ (2) $d_1 < d_2$

Fig 3.1: A palindrome ϖ occurs in Λ twice, at symmetric positions.

Case 1. $d_1 = d_2$, see Fig 3.1(1). In this case, $\omega_1 = \overleftarrow{\omega_2}$, i.e., $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega}$, ω is a palindrome.

Case 2. $d_1 \neq d_2$, let's just take $d_1 < d_2$, see Fig 3.1(2).

In this case, let ω_1 and ω_2 overlapped at ν_2, then $\omega_1 = \nu_1\nu_2$ and $\overleftarrow{\omega}_2 = \nu_2\nu_3$. Since ω is a palindrome, ν_2 is a palindrome too, and $\nu_3 = \overleftarrow{\nu_1}$. So ω_1 and $\overleftarrow{\omega_2}$ unite to a new word $\varpi = \nu_1\nu_2\overleftarrow{\nu_1}$, which is a palindrome, and occurs in Λ twice at symmetric positions. Thus the conclusion holds. □

3.3 The weak type of envelope extension

Theorem 3.13. *The factor ω occurs exactly once in $Env(\omega)$.*

Proof. Suppose the factor ω occurs twice in $Env(\omega)$, denoted by ω_1 and ω_2. Denote $Env(\omega)$ by $E_{i,m}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $m \geq 1$.

Case 1. $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$. Since $E_{1,m} = E_{1,m-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}}E_{1,m-1}$, both ω_1 and ω_2 contain the middle letter δ_{m-1}. Otherwise $\omega \prec E_{1,m-1}$, $Env(\omega) \sqsubset E_{1,m-1}$, contradicting $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$. By Lemma 3.12, we can assume without loss of generality that: ω is palindrome; ω_1 and ω_2 occur in $E_{1,m}$ at symmetric positions.

Denote $\omega_1 = \mu_1\delta_{m-1}\mu_2$ and $\omega_2 = \eta_1\delta_{m-1}\eta_2$. Since ω_1 and ω_2 occur at symmetric positions, $|\mu_1| = |\eta_2| > |\mu_2| = |\eta_1|$. Since $E_{1,m}$ is palindrome, $\omega_2 = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\overleftarrow{\mu_1}$. Since ω is palindrome and $|\mu_1| > |\mu_2|$, there exists μ_3 such that $\mu_1 = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3$. This means $\omega = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\delta_{m-1}\mu_2$. Moreover μ_3 is palindrome. Now, we consider two subcases.

Case 1.1. $|\mu_3| > |\mu_2|$. Since μ_3 is palindrome, by Property 3.10, there exists n such that $\mu_3 = E_{1,n}$. Since $\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\delta_{m-1} \prec E_{1,m}$, by Property 3.5, $n \in \{m-2k-1, k = 1,2,\ldots,\lfloor\frac{m-2}{2}\rfloor\}$. Thus

$$\omega = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\delta_{m-1}\mu_2 \prec E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3} = E_{2,m-2}.$$

This contradict the hypotheses of $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$.

Case 1.2. $|\mu_3| \leq |\mu_2|$. (1) If there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $|\mu_2| + 1 = k(|\mu_3| + 1)$, $\overleftarrow{\mu_2} = \mu_3(\delta_{m-1}\mu_3)^k$. Obviously, $\overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3$ is palindrome. By Property 3.10, there exists n_1 such that $\overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3 = E_{1,n_1}$. Similarly, μ_2 is palindrome, there exists $n_2 < n_1$ such that $\mu_2 = E_{1,n_2}$. Thus

$$\omega = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\underline{\delta_{m-1}}\mu_2 = E_{1,n_1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}}E_{1,n_2}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\omega = E_{1,n_1}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,n_2}$ does not be a palindrome.

(2) Otherwise, there exists $k \geq 1$ and $0 < |\mu_4| < |\mu_3|$ such that $\overleftarrow{\mu_2} = \overleftarrow{\mu_4}(\delta_{m-1}\mu_3)^k$. Thus

$$\omega = \overleftarrow{\mu_2}\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\underline{\delta_{m-1}}\mu_2 = \overleftarrow{\mu_4}(\delta_{m-1}\mu_3)^k\delta_{m-1}\mu_3\underline{\delta_{m-1}}(\mu_3\delta_{m-1})^k\mu_4 = \overleftarrow{\mu_4}\delta_{m-1}\mu_5\delta_{m-1}\mu_4,$$

where $\mu_5 = (\mu_3\delta_{m-1})^k\mu_3(\delta_{m-1}\mu_3)^k$ is a palindrome with $|\mu_5| > |\mu_4|$. Using the conclusion in Case 1.1, we get a contradiction of $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$.

In summary, Case 1 is impossible.

Case 2. $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$. Since $E_{2,m} = E_{1,m-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}}E_{1,m-1}$, both ω_1 and ω_2 contain the factor $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ with underline. Otherwise, $\omega \prec E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1} = E_{1,m}$, $Env(\omega) \sqsubset E_{1,m}$, contradicting $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$. Denote $\omega_1 = \mu_1\delta_{m-1}\underline{E_{1,m-1}}\delta_{m-1}\mu_2$ and $\omega_2 = \eta_1\delta_{m-1}\underline{E_{1,m-1}}\delta_{m-1}\eta_2$. Obviously, the $E_{1,m-1}$'s in ω_1 and ω_2 are overlapped. By Theorem 2.3, $E_{1,m-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$ has only two distinct return words $r_1(E_{1,m-1}) = A_{m-1}$ and $r_2(E_{1,m-1}) = A_{m-2}$. Moreover, $E_{1,m-1,p}$ and $E_{1,m-1,p+i}$ are separate for $i \geq 2$; $E_{1,m-1,p}$ and $E_{1,m-1,p+1}$ are separate for $\Theta_1[p] = a$; $E_{1,m-1,p}$ and $E_{1,m-1,p+1}$ are overlapped for $\Theta_1[p] = b$. This means the overlap of the $E_{1,m-1}$'s in ω_1 and ω_2 is $r_2^{-1}(E_{1,m-1})E_{1,m-1} = B_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$. Thus

$$\omega_1 = \mu_1\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}\underline{B_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}}\delta_{m-1}\mu_2,\ \omega_2 = \mu_1\delta_{m-1}\underline{B_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}}\delta_m B_{m-2}\mu_2.$$

Notice that the first letter followed the overlap $\underline{B_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}}\delta_m$ in ω_1 and ω_2 are δ_{m-1} and δ_m, respectively. This contradict that ω_1 and ω_2 have the same expression. Thus the conclusion holds. □

Let ω be a factor, and denote $Env(\omega)$ by $E_{i,m}$. By Theorem 3.13, there exist uniquely two words $\mu_1(\omega)$ and $\mu_2(\omega)$ depending only on ω, such that

$$E_{i,m} = \mu_1(\omega)\omega\mu_2(\omega). \tag{1}$$

Definition 3.14 (Weak type of envelope extension)**.** *Let ω be a factor, the expression (1) above is called the weak type of envelope extension of ω.*

3.4 The extension of ω_p

Property 3.15 (Envelope word inside)**.** *For $m > 2$,*
(1) if $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$, $E_{1,m-2} \prec \omega$; (2) if $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$, $E_{1,m-1} \prec \omega$.

Proof. (1) If $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$, ω can't be the factor of $E_{1,m-1}$ or $E_{2,m-2}$.

$$E_{1,m} = \underbrace{E_{1,m-2}\delta_m \underbrace{E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}\overbrace{E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}}^{E_{2,m-2}}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}}_{E_{2,m-2}}\delta_m E_{1,m-2}}_{E_{1,m-1}}$$

Fig 3.2: $E_{1,m-1}$ and $E_{2,m-2}$ in $E_{1,m}$.

Consider the three overlaps in the figure above, ω has three cases:
1) $\omega \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}$;
2) $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}$;
3) $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3}\delta_m$.
All of them include that $\omega \succ E_{1,m-3}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-3} = E_{1,m-2}$.
(2) If $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$, ω can't be the factor of $E_{1,m}$.

$$E_{2,m} = \underbrace{E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}\overbrace{E_{1,m-1}}^{E_{1,m}}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}}_{E_{1,m}}$$

Fig 3.3: $E_{1,m}$ in $E_{2,m}$.

Consider the overlap in the figure above, $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1} \succ E_{1,m-1}$. □

Denote $Env(\omega) = E_{i,m}$. Now we turn to prove that, for each ω_p there exists a q such that $\omega \prec E_{i,m,q}$. More precisely, $L(\omega, p) \geq L(E_{i,m}, q)$ and $L(\omega, p) + |\omega| \leq L(E_{i,m}, q) + |E_{i,m}|$. In this case, we say that the ω_p extend to $E_{i,m,q}$.

- **Case 1. $\mathbf{Env}(\omega) = \mathbf{E_{1,m}}$, $\mathbf{m \geq 1}$.**

  By Theorem 2.3, we have $\{r_p(E_{1,m-2})\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 over the alphabet

$$\{r_1(E_{1,m-2}), r_2(E_{1,m-2})\} = \{A_{m-2}, A_{m-3}\} = \{E_{1,m-2}\delta_m, E_{1,m-2}\delta_m B_{m-3}^{-1}\},$$

  where $E_{1,m-2} = A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$. Since factors with length 3 in Θ_1 are $\{abb, bba, baa, aaa, aab, bab\}$, $E_{1,m-2}$ occurs in $\mathbb{D}$ has 6 cases, where $\mathbf{a} = r_1(E_{1,m-2})$, $\mathbf{b} = r_2(E_{1,m-2})$.
  (1) $\mathbf{abb} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m B_{m-3}^{-1}A_{m-3} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$;
  (2) $\mathbf{bba} = A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m B_{m-3}^{-1}A_{m-2} = A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3} \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$;
  (3) $\mathbf{baa} = A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2} \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$;
  (4) $\mathbf{aaa} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$;
  (5) $\mathbf{aab} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$;
  (6) $\mathbf{bab} = A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3} \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$.
  Here we always rewrite the middle letter $\mathbf{a}$ or $\mathbf{b}$ to be an expression with envelope word $E_{1,m-2}$.

Lemma 3.16. *The factor $\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by the word $E_{1,m-2} = A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$ and followed by the word $E_{1,m-1} = A_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$.*

Proof. By the analysis in the beginning of Case 1, $\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence has only one case: **abb**. It extends to **abbabb** or **abbaa** in Θ_1.

abbabb $= A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1}$;

abbaa $= A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-2} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-1}$.

Since $B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$, both of them have prefix $A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}\underline{\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}}A_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$. Here we show the factor $\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ with underline. This means the conclusion holds. □

Lemma 3.17. *The factor $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by the word $E_{1,m-2}\delta_m E_{1,m-3} = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$ and followed by the word $E_{1,m-3}\delta_m E_{1,m-2} = B_{m-3}A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$.*

Proof. By the analysis in the beginning of Case 1, $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence has only one case: **bba**. It extends to **abbaa** or **abbabb** in Θ_1.

abbaa $= A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}A_{m-2}$.

abbabb $= A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}A_{m-3}A_{m-3} = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}B_{m-2}$.

Both of them have prefix $A_{m-2}A_{m-3}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}}B_{m-3}A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$. Here we show the factor $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ with underline. This means the conclusion holds. □

Lemma 3.18. *The factor $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by the word $E_{1,m-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$ and followed by the word $E_{1,m-2} = A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$.*

Proof. By the analysis in the beginning of Case 1, $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence has two cases: **baa** and **bab**. They extend to **abbaa** and **abbabb** in Θ_1, respectively.

abbaa $= A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2}$;

abbabb $= A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3}A_{m-3} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m B_{m-2}$.

Since $A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1} = B_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$, both of them have prefix $A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m}A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$. Here we show the factor $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$ with underline. This means the conclusion holds. □

Property 3.19. *If $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$, then each ω_p can extend to a $E_{1,m}$ in $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, $\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_m = \delta_m B_{m-2} \not\prec E_{1,m} = A_{m-2}B_{m-2}A_{m-2}A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}$. By Figure 3.2, for $m > 2$ and $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$, ω contains one of the three words below:

(1) $\omega \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$. By Lemma 3.16, $\omega \prec A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1}\delta_m E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1} = E_{1,m}$.

(2) $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}$. By Lemma 3.17, $\omega \prec A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E_{1,m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1} = E_{1,m}$.

(3) $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m$. By Lemma 3.18, $\omega \prec A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2}\delta_m^{-1} = E_{1,m}$.

In summary, no matter where ω occurs, it can extend to a $E_{1,m}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. □

- **Case 2. $\mathbf{Env}(\omega) = \mathbf{E_{2,m}},\ \mathbf{m \geq 1}$.**

  By Theorem 2.3, we have $\{r_p(E_{1,m-1})\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over the alphabet

$$\{r_1(E_{1,m-1}), r_2(E_{1,m-1})\} = \{A_{m-1}, A_{m-2}\} = \{E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}, E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-2}^{-1}\},$$

  where $E_{1,m-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$. Since factors with length 3 in Θ_1 are $\{abb, bba, baa, aaa, aab, bab\}$, $\mathbf{a} = r_1(E_{1,m-1})$, $\mathbf{b} = r_2(E_{1,m-1})$, $E_{1,m-1}$ occurs in $\mathbb{D}$ has 6 cases as follow:

  (1) **abb** $= A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-2}^{-1}A_{m-2} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_m \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_m$;

  (2) **bba** $= A_{m-2}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-2}^{-1}A_{m-1} = A_{m-2}E_{1,m-1}\delta_m B_{m-2} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-1}\delta_m$;

  (3) **baa** $= A_{m-2}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$;

  (4) **aaa** $= A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1} \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$;

  (5) **aab** $= A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2} \succ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$;

  (6) **bab** $= A_{m-2}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2} \succ \delta_m E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$.

  Here we always rewrite the middle letter **a** or **b** to be an expression with envelope word $E_{1,m-1}$.

Lemma 3.20. *The word $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded and followed by the word $E_{1,m-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$.*

Proof. By the analysis above, $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence has two cases: **aaa** and **aab**. Here $\underline{\mathbf{aaa}} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1}$. Moreover **aab** extends to $\underline{\mathbf{aab}}\mathbf{b}$ in Θ_1. $\underline{\mathbf{aab}}\mathbf{b} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-2} = A_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-1}$. Since $B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$, both of them have prefix $A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}}A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$. This means the conclusion holds. □

Property 3.21. *If $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$, then each ω_p can extend to a $E_{2,m}$ in $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 and 2.9, the next three words are not the factors of $E_{2,m} = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$,

$$\delta_mE_{1,m-1}\delta_m = \delta_mB_{m-1},\ \delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_m = \delta_{m-1}B_{m-1},\ \delta_mE_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1} = \delta_mA_{m-1}.$$

By Figure 3.3, $\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1} \prec \omega$. By Lemma 3.20, $\omega \prec A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}\delta_{m-1}E_{1,m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1} = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1} = E_{2,m}$. This means no matter where ω occurs, it can extend to a $E_{1,m}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. □

3.5 The strong type of envelope extension

Theorem 3.22. *$Env(\omega_p) = Env(\omega)_p = E_{i,m,p}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Property 3.19 and 3.21, we have for all p, there is a q s.t. $E_{i,m,q} = Env(\omega_p)$. By the definition of $Env(\omega)$, $\omega \prec Env(\omega)$. So for all q, there is a p s.t. $\omega_p \prec E_{i,m,q}$. By the weak type of envelope extension, we have (1) if $\omega_{p_1} \prec E_{i,m,q}$ and $\omega_{p_2} \prec E_{i,m,q}$, then $p_1 = p_2$; (2) if $\omega_p \prec E_{i,m,q_1}$ and $\omega_p \prec E_{i,m,q_2}$, then $q_1 = q_2$. So $Env(\omega_p) = E_{i,m,q}$ means $p = q$. Thus $Env(\omega_p) = Env(\omega)_p = E_{i,m,p}$. □

Let ω be a factor, and denote $Env(\omega)$ by $E_{i,m}$. By Theorem 3.22, there exist uniquely two words $\mu_1(\omega)$ and $\mu_2(\omega)$ depending only on ω, such that

$$E_{i,m,p} = \mu_1(\omega)\omega_p\mu_2(\omega). \tag{2}$$

The $\mu_1(\omega)$ and $\mu_2(\omega)$ have the same expressions with the $\mu_1(\omega)$ and $\mu_2(\omega)$ in expression (1).

Definition 3.23 (Strong type of envelope extension)**.** *Let ω be a factor, the expression (2) above is called the strong type of envelope extension of ω.*

4 The return word sequences of general factors in $\mathbb{D}$

By the strong type of envelope extension, we can extend Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 to general factors.

Theorem 4.1. *(1) When $Env(\omega) = E_{1,m}$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over the alphabet $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega)\}$. (2) When $Env(\omega) = E_{2,m}$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_2 over the alphabet $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega), r_4(\omega)\}$.*

Property 4.2. *Let $Env(\omega)$ be $E_{i,m}$. $r_0(\omega) = r_0(E_{i,m})\mu_1(\omega)$, $r_p(\omega) = \mu_1(\omega)^{-1}r_p(E_{i,m})\mu_1(\omega)$ for $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof of the property will be easy by the following figure.



Fig. 4.1: The relation among ω_1, $E_{i,m,1}$, $r_0(\omega)$ and $r_0(E_{i,m})$.



Fig. 4.2: The relation among ω_p, $E_{i,m,p}$, $r_p(\omega)$ and $r_p(E_{i,m})$.

□

The property above can be proved easily by Figure 4.1 and 4.2. By Property 2.6, 2.10 and 4.2, we can give the expressions of $r_p(\omega)$. Since the expressions are complicated, we omit them.

Corollary 4.3 (The Lengths of $r_p(\omega)$)**.** *Denote $Env(\omega)$ by $E_{i,m}$, let $E_{i,m} = \mu_1(\omega)\omega\mu_2(\omega)$, then*
(1) if $i = 1$, $|r_0(\omega)| = |\mu_1(\omega)|$, $|r_1(\omega)| = 2^m$, $|r_2(\omega)| = 2^{m-1}$;
(2) if $i = 2$, $|r_0(\omega)| = 2^m + |\mu_1(\omega)|$, $|r_1(\omega)| = 2^{m-1}$, $|r_2(\omega)| = 7 \times 2^{m-1}$, $|r_4(\omega)| = 3 \times 2^{m-1}$.

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the Grant NSFC No.11431007, No.11271223 and No.11371210.

References

[1] Allouche J P, Peyriere J, Wen Z X, Wen Z Y. Hankel determinants of the thue-morse sequence. Annales-Institut Fourier, 1998, 1(1): 1-27.

[2] Durand F, A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words. Discrete Math. 1998, 179: 89-101.

[3] Damanik D. Local symmetries in the period-doubling sequence. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2000, 100(1-2): 115-121.

[4] Fu H, Han G N. On t-extensions of the Hankel determinants of certain automatic sequences. Theoretical Computer Science, 2015, 562(C): 46-56.

[5] Guo Y J, Wen Z X. Automaticity of the Hankel determinants of difference sequences of the Thue-Morse sequence. Theoretical Computer Science, 2014, 552(4): 1-12.

[6] Huang Y K, Wen Z Y. The sequence of return words of the Fibonacci sequence. Theoretical Computer Science, 2015, 593: 106-116.

[7] Huang Y K, Wen Z Y. Kernel words and gap sequence of the Tribonacci sequence. Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2016, 36(1): 173-194.

[8] Huang Y K, Wen Z Y. The number of distinct and repeated squares and cubes in the Fibonacci sequence. arXiv: 1603.04211.

[9] Huang Y K, Wen Z Y. The numbers of repeated palindromes in the Fibonacci and Tribonacci sequences. arXiv: 1604.05021.

[10] Huang Y K, Wen Z Y. The numbers of distinct and repeated squares and cubes in the Tribonacci sequence. arXiv: 1606.02161.

[11] Parreau A, Rigo M, Rowland E, Vandomme E. A new approach to the 2-regularity of the L-abelian complexity of 2-automatic sequences. Arthritis and Rheumatology, 2015, 22(1): 1-27.

[12] Vuillon L. A chararcterization of sturmian words by return words. European Journal of Combinatorics, 2001, 22(2): 263-275.